



Application No. **09/773,365**

Irene Marx

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Art Unit

1651

Khowala

Interview Summary

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	
(1) Irene Marx	(3)	
(2) Mr. Siminski		
Date of Interview Nov 6, 2002		
Type: a) X Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant	2) applicant's repr	resentative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes	e) X No. If yes, brie	f description:
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached	d. g) was not reac	hed. h) N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	al nature of what was a	greed to if an agreement was reached, or
Acknowledged receipt of after final response. An action	will be forthcoming as s	soon as possible
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amer allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)	ndments which the example copy of the amendment	miner agreed would render the claims nts that would render the claims allowable is
i) $X^{\scriptscriptstyle (\!\! \!\!\!\!)}$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	arate record of the sub	stance of the interview (if box is checked).
Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See Malready been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Recommendations of the summary of Recommendation of the summary	PEP section 713.04). I ROM THIS INTERVIEW	f a reply to the last Office action has DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE
Everying Note: Very must sign this form value it is		
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		Examiner's signature, if required